Writing Rubri	Writing Rubric						
<u>Expectations</u>	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet		
Focus	Fully developed, engaging, clear, and complex thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice	Effective, clear and complex thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice	Adequate thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice	 Unclear, vague, or weak thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice 	No thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice		
<u>Expectations</u>	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet		
Organization	Superior organization reflective of purpose Outstanding introduction that articulately provides context/relevant background Remarkably insightful main ideas for each paragraph, directly supportive of thesis, thesis, or narrative voice Outstanding use of transitions Compelling and fully developed conclusion	 Effective and logical organization reflective of purpose Thorough introduction that provides context/relevant background Strong main idea for each paragraph, directly supportive of thesis,, or narrative voice Effective use of transitions Appropriate and fully developed conclusion 	 Satisfactory organization reflective of purpose Adequate introduction that provides some context/relevant background Clear main idea for each paragraph, supportive of thesis, or narrative voice Satisfactory use of transitions Conclusion is sufficiently developed 	 Unclear organization minimally reflective of purpose Weak introduction that provides minimal context/relevant background Unclear or weak main idea for one or more paragraphs, loosely related to thesis, or narrative voice Some use of transitions Conclusion is underdeveloped 	 No organization No introduction and/or introduction does not provide context/relevant background Unclear, weak, or missing main idea for multiple paragraphs, loosely or minimally related to thesis, or narrative voice Few or no transitions Conclusion is ineffective or missing 		
Expectations	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet		
Evidence and Elaboration	 Evidence notably enhances thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice Analysis/elaboration of evidence demonstrates an original interpretation that expresses remarkable depth of understanding of content Superior integration and unification of evidence and examples Evidence is of superior quality and used accurately 	 Evidence effectively enhances thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice Analysis/elaboration of evidence demonstrates an interpretation that expresses depth of understanding of content Effective integration and unification of evidence and examples Evidence is of high quality and used accurately 	 Evidence adequately supports thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice Analysis/elaboration of evidence demonstrates a sufficient interpretation that expresses understanding of content Adequate integration of evidence and examples are clearly integrated Evidence is reliable and used capably 	 Evidence minimally supports thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice and/or may be irrelevant Analysis/elaboration of evidence demonstrates a limited understanding of content Weak integration of evidence and examples Evidence is of low quality, unreliable, and/or used inaccurately 	 Evidence is irrelevant, missing, or does not support thesis, claim, main idea, or narrative voice No analysis/elaboration of evidence Evidence and examples are not integrated and/or missing 		
Expectations	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet		
Language and Style	 Astute awareness of audience Compelling voice and skillful use of a variety of language and structure to express ideas and fully engage audience 	Clear awareness of audience Effective voice and appropriate use of a variety of language and structure to express ideas and engage audience	Sufficient awareness of audience Adequate use of language and structure to express ideas and engage audience	Limited awareness of audience Weak use of language and structure that minimally expresses ideas and engages audience	 Inadequate awareness of audience Poor use of language and structure that does not express ideas and/or engage audience 		
<u>Expectations</u>	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet		
Conventions	Superior use of grammar, mechanics, and spelling	Effective use of grammar, mechanics, and spelling	Adequate use of grammar, mechanics, and spelling	Limited use of grammar, mechanics, and spelling	Inadequate use of grammar, mechanics, and spelling		

If Applicable

Expectation	<u>ns</u> Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet
Citations	 Accurate citations/works cited in proper discipline format, with no errors 	 Appropriate citations/works cited in proper discipline format, with minimal errors 	 Citations/works cited in proper discipline format, with some errors 	Citations/works cited with many errors	 Citations/works cited not included

If Applicable

<u>Expectations</u>	Exceeds	Goal	Approaching	Needs Attention	Does Not Meet
Counterclaim	Superior rebuttal	Effective rebuttal	Adequate rebuttal	Weak rebuttal	Irrelevant or missing rebuttal